

PROJECT INFORMATION

| Project Title: | N-Com PVT Reconnect 2 |
|---------------------------|--|
| Project Type: | COMMUNICATIONS, BURIED LINES/CABLES, BURIED LINES, MAINTENANCE OR |
| | UPGRADES |
| Latitude/Longitude (DMS): | 32.502344 / -105.341463 |
| County(s): | CHAVES; EDDY; OTERO |
| Project Description: | This project is for the installation of high speed fiberoptic line to underserved areas. |

REQUESTOR INFORMATION

| Project Organization: | PRIVATE COMPANY OR CONSULTANT |
|-----------------------|---|
| Contact Name: | Mikaela Buscher |
| Email Address: | mikaela.buscher@NV5.com |
| Organization: | NV5 |
| Address: | 4374 Alexander Blvd NE, Suite K, Albuquerque NM 87107 |
| Phone: | 651-231-8000 |
| | |

OVERALL STATUS

The information contained within this report comprises the recommendations of the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish (Department) for management and mitigation of proposed project impacts to wildlife and habitat resources; see the Project Recommendations section below for further details. No further consultation with the Department is required based on the project's location and, with implementation of mitigation measures described in the Project Recommendations section below, no adverse effects to wildlife or important habitats are anticipated. However, a Department biologist may be in touch within 30 days if they determine that further review is required.

About this report:

- This environmental review is based on the project description and location that was entered. The report must be updated if the project type, area, or operational components are modified.
- This is a preliminary environmental screening assessment and report. It is not a substitute for the potential wildlife knowledge gained by having a biologist conduct a field survey of the project area. Federal status and plant data are provided as a courtesy to users. The review is also not intended to replace consultation required under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), including impact analyses for federal resources from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) using their Information for Planning and Consultation tool.
- The New Mexico Environmental Review Tool (ERT) utilizes species observation locations and species distribution models, both of which are subject to ongoing change and refinement. Inclusion or omission of a species within a report can not guarantee species presence or absence at a precise point location, as might be indicated through comprehensive biological surveys. Specific questions regarding the potential for adverse impacts to vulnerable wildlife populations or habitats, especially in areas with a limited history of biological surveys, may require further on-site assessments.
- The Department encourages use of the ERT to modify proposed projects for avoidance, minimization, or mitigation of wildlife impacts. However, the ERT is not intended to be used in a repeatedly iterative fashion to adjust project attributes until a previously determined recommendation is generated. The ERT serves to asses impacts once project details are developed. The <u>New Mexico Crucial Habitat Assessment Tool</u> is the appropriate system for advising early-stage project planning and design to avoid areas of anticipated wildlife concerns and associated regulatory requirements.







USGS, New Mexico Department of Game and Fish (NMDGF), Natural Heritage New Mexico (NHNM), and USDA Forest Service,

Compiled by Richard Norwood of NHNM over the period 2020 to 2021. Sources: Esri, Airbus DS, USGS, NGA, NASA, CGIAR, N Robinson, NCEAS, NLS, OS, NMA, Geodatastyrelsen, Rijkswaterstaat, GSA, Geoland, FEMA, Intermap and the GIS user community





| Common Name | Scientific Name | USFWS (ESA) | NMDGF (WCA) | NMDGF SGCN/SERI |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Northern Leopard Frog | Lithobates pipiens | | | SGCN |
| Eared Grebe | Podiceps nigricollis | | | SGCN |
| Brown Pelican | Pelecanus occidentalis | | E | SGCN |
| American Bittern | Botaurus lentiginosus | | | SGCN |
| Bald Eagle | Haliaeetus leucocephalus | | т | SGCN |
| Aplomado Falcon | Falco femoralis | | E | SGCN |
| Peregrine Falcon | Falco peregrinus | | т | SGCN |
| Least Tern | Sternula antillarum | LE | E | SGCN |
| Yellow-Billed Cuckoo | Coccyzus americanus | LT | | SGCN |
| Lewis's Woodpecker | Melanerpes lewis | | | SGCN |
| Red-Headed Woodpecker | Melanerpes erythrocephalus | | | SGCN |
| Williamson's Sapsucker | Sphyrapicus thyroideus | | | SGCN |
| Bank Swallow | Riparia riparia | | | SGCN |
| Pinyon Jay | Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus | | | SGCN |
| Juniper Titmouse | <u>Baeolophus ridgwayi</u> | | | SGCN |
| Pygmy Nuthatch | Sitta pygmaea | | | SGCN |
| Western Bluebird | Sialia mexicana | | | SGCN |
| <u>Sprague's Pipit</u> | Anthus spragueii | | | SGCN |
| Loggerhead Shrike | Lanius Iudovicianus | | | SGCN |
| Bell's Vireo | Vireo bellii | | т | SGCN |
| <u>Gray Vireo</u> | Vireo vicinior | | т | SGCN |
| Varied Bunting | Passerina versicolor | | т | SGCN |
| Spotted Bat | Euderma maculatum | | т | SGCN |
| Black-Tailed Prairie Dog | Cynomys Iudovicianus | | | SGCN |
| <u>Dunes Sagebrush Lizard</u> | Sceloporus arenicolus | | E | SGCN |
| <u>Gray-Banded Kingsnake</u> | Lampropeltis alterna | | E | SGCN |
| Plainbelly Water Snake | Nerodia erythrogaster | | E | SGCN |
| Texas Hornshell | Popenaias popeii | PE | E | SGCN |
| Black Bear | Ursus americanus | | | SERI |
| <u>Cougar</u> | Puma concolor | | | SERI |
| <u>Elk</u> | Cervus canadensis nelsoni | | | SERI |
| Mule Deer | Odocoileus hemionus | | | SERI |
| Pronghorn | Antilocapra americana americana | | | SERI |

ESA = Endangered Species Act, WCA = Wildlife Conservation Act, SGCN = Species of Greatest Conservation Need, SERI = Species of Economic and Recreational Importance

| Special Status Plant Species within 200 Meters of Project Area | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------|------|--------|--|--|
| Common Name | Scientific Name | USFWS (ESA) | NMAC | NMRPCS | | |
| Lee's Pincushion Cactus | Escobaria sneedii var. leei | LT | Е | SS | | |



NMAC = New Mexico Administrative Code, NMRPCS = <u>New Mexico Rare Plant Conservation Strategy</u>, SS = NM Rare Plant Conservation Strategy Species



Project Recommendations

Open trenches excavated for underground water or oil and gas pipelines, powerlines, or fiber optic communication lines can unintentionally entrap and cause the unnecessary mortality of amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals, and can cause injury to large mammals. Trapped animals can die from exposure, starvation, crushing from pipe-laying, entombment from trenching backfilling, drowning, and predation. This unnecessary wildlife mortality can be avoided by implementing conservation measures including: concurrent trenching, pipe-laying, and backfilling operations to minimize the amount of trench left open overnight or longer; construction escape ramps; and employing biological monitors to remove trapped animals. Periods of highest activity for amphibians and reptiles vulnerable to entrapment include summer months and wet weather, and they can be active both day and night. Small mammals subject to entrapment are active year-round and generally most active at night.

Implementing the general trenching conservation measures outlined in the Department's <u>Trenching Project Guidelines</u> will help minimize unnecessary mortality of wildlife. Best management practices should include, at minimum, the following mitigation measures.

- Whenever possible, locate trenching activities within previously disturbed areas, such as existing road or pipeline right-of-ways. To the extent possible, avoid trenching in undisturbed habitat.
- Trench during the cooler months (October March).
- Utilize concurrent trenching, pipe- or cable-laying, and backfilling. Keep trenching, pipe- or cable-laying, and backfilling crews as close together as possible to minimize the amount of open trench at any given time. When trenching activities are temporarily halted (e.g., overnight, weekends, holidays, weather shutdowns), protect wildlife from accessing any open trench between digging and backfilling operations by using one or more of the methods described below.
- Avoid leaving trenches open overnight. When trenches cannot be backfilled immediately, escape ramps should be constructed at least every 90 meters and preferably 30 meters. Escape ramps can be constructed parallel or perpendicular to the existing trench. The escape ramp slope should be less than 45 degrees (1:1). If pipe or cable has been installed but backfilling has not occurred, escape ramps may need to be constructed on both sides of the trench, since, unless the pipe is elevated enough to allow animals to move underneath it, the pipe or cable may block access of amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals to the ramps if only constructed on one side.
- Trenches that have been left open overnight should be inspected the following day by a qualified biological monitor and trapped animals removed as soon as possible, especially where state- or federally-listed threatened or endangered amphibians, reptiles, or small mammals occur. Untrained personnel should not attempt to remove trapped wildlife because of the potential to injure animals and the possibility of injury from venomous snakes. Required tools for removal will include snake tongs for removing snakes and a dip net for capturing and removing amphibians and small mammals. Many animals trapped in a trench will burrow under loose soil. To the extent possible, the biological monitor should disturb loose soil in the trench to uncover and remove trapped animals. Animals should be relocated at least 50 meters away from the open trench in undisturbed habitat.
- When pipe has been laid in the trench, end caps should be placed on the open end(s) of the pipe to preclude animals from entering. Pipe staged outside the trench should be capped until placed in the trench or checked for wildlife before being placed into the trench.
- Most wildlife can be protected by constructing silt fence completely around the open trench. Silt fence should be supported from sagging by t-posts, rebar, or stakes and buried at the base to preclude animals from moving below the fence. If construction of a silt fence is a required best management practice for erosion control, then, to preclude the need for a biological monitor, escape ramps, and concurrent backfilling, the guidelines for silt fence installation and maintenance in the <u>Trenching Project Guidelines</u> should be followed.



Burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*) is known to occur within or near your project area. Before any ground disturbing activities occur, the Department recommends that a preliminary survey be conducted by a qualified biologist using the Department's <u>burrowing owl survey protocol</u>. Should burrowing owls be documented in the project area, please contact the Department or USFWS for further recommendations regarding relocation or avoidance of impacts.

The proposed project occurs within or near a riparian area. Because riparian areas are important wildlife habitats, the project footprint should avoid removing any riparian vegetation or creating ground disturbance either directly within or affecting the riparian area, unless the project is intended to restore riparian habitat through non-native plant removal and replanting with native species. If your project involves removal of non-native riparian trees or planting of native riparian vegetation, please refer to the Department's habitat handbook guideline for <u>Restoration and Management of Native and Non-native Trees in Southwestern Riparian Ecosystems</u>.

Disclaimers regarding recommendations:

- The Department provides technical guidance to support the persistence of all protected species of native fish and wildlife, including game and nongame wildlife species. Species listed within this report include those that have been documented to occur within the project area, and others that may not have been documented but are projected to occur within the project vicinity.
- Recommendations are provided by the Department under the authority of § 17-1-5.1 New Mexico Statutes Annotated 1978, to provide "communication and consultation with federal and other state agencies, local governments and communities, private organizations and affected interests responsible for habitat, wilderness, recreation, water quality and environmental protection to ensure comprehensive conservation services for hunters, anglers and nonconsumptive wildlife users".
- The Department has no authority for management of plants or Important Plant Areas. The <u>New Mexico</u> <u>Endangered Plant Program</u>, under the Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department's Forestry Division, identifies and develops conservation measures necessary to ensure the survival of plant species within New Mexico. Plant status information is provided within this report as a courtesy to users. Recommendations provided within the ERT may not be sufficient to preclude impacts to rare or sensitive plants, unless conservation measures are identified in coordination with the Endangered Plant Program.
- Additional coordination may also be necessary under the federal ESA or National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Further site-specific recommendations may be proposed during ESA and/or NEPA analyses, or through coordination with affected federal agencies.